



Course:	PHIL1040 002 - Business Ethics	Department:	PHIL
Responsible Faculty:	Scott Hill	Responses / Expected:	18 / 35 (51.43%)
Focus:	Overall Results		



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 002										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	14	3	0	0	1	0	18	5.6	6	6	.95
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	13	5	0	0	0	0	18	5.7	6	6	.45
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	11	5	0	0	1	1	18	5.2	6	6	1.40
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	9	6	1	0	0	2	18	5.0	5.5	6	1.53

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 002 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 18 / 35 (51.43%)




LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A											
	Responses						Individual					
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	17	1	0	0	0	0	18	5.9	6	6	.23
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	13	3	1	0	0	1	18	5.4	6	6	1.21
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	12	5	0	1	0	0	18	5.6	6	6	.76

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 33.33% (6 of 18)
1 This was the worst class I have taken in my four years at Auburn. He did not know how to explain the theories to students. I was grateful he switched to powerpoints instead of hand written notes. But he discouraged us to take notes in class and just listen. For some students that may work but I need to take notes as he speak and I felt uncomfortable taking notes. Thoughts were out of order and hard to follow. I'm just glad I passed.
2 This class made me think about aspects of everyday life in a more critical way. I thoroughly enjoyed Scott's class.
3 The instructor was great, taught me to think critically, and provided a foundation for a fountain of knowledge on business ethics.
4 Scott greatly enhanced my interest in the subject and provided an interactive environment for learning (which I believe is essential to this subject).
5 I did not learn anything about business ethics. His use of the "F word " in class was offensive and the fact that I had to pay money to be taight material that wasn't the class I signed up for is ridiculous. "I don't do this stuff on my own time" is what he told me once
6 Awesome dude! One of the best instructors I have had at Auburn. Makes you think, but still an awesome dude


Course: PHIL1040 003 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 19 / 35 (54.29%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 003										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	12	4	2	1	0	0	19	5.4	6	6	.88
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	10	8	0	0	1	0	19	5.4	6	6	.93
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	9	8	1	0	1	0	19	5.3	5	6	.96
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	7	7	2	0	1	0	17	5.1	5	5,6	1.02

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 003 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 19 / 35 (54.29%)




LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A											
	Responses						Individual					
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	13	6	0	0	0	0	19	5.7	6	6	.46
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	9	9	1	0	0	0	19	5.4	5	5,6	.59
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	11	8	0	0	0	0	19	5.6	6	6	.49

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 68.42% (13 of 19)
1 Use canvas for grades and stuff
2 This class was made very interesting for a topic that has the potential to be extremely boring. The class is heavily discussion based, which is nice because you can hear many people's point of view of each topic. This also is a bad thing, because the tests aren't based on what other student's opinions are. The discussions that happen often go off on random tangents. It is confusing because I remember a discussion that went on for 10 minutes more than what we were actually supposed to be talking about.
3 The class was very interesting I loved all the discussions
4 Scott made the class a discussion based class which kept me interested in the material instead of just writing notes off of a slide, which would make the class nearly impossible to pay attention to. Please keep teaching how you are now, I am the only person I know with an ethics class that I dont mind going to.
5 Scott is a very genuine and intelligent guy who really encouraged the class to be involved and interested in the discussion topics. He wasn't afraid to wait on the class to find their voice about the material and then incite discussions that were meaningful and informative. I believe Scott is a great facilitator of critical thinking, and focused more on the class dynamic than other professors I've had in similar classes. His tests were straightforward, a bit too much regurgitation for my taste, I would have like to have been able to write opinionated essays on the material and then have discussions about them. I would recommend Scott's class to anyone and would take another class that he taught. I think the University could benefit from having more professors that encourage and challenge students to form their own ideas and then defend them like Scott does.
6 Really wish I had more classes like this
7 Once I had taken the first test and understood how the material was going to be tested, it made more since how the material was being presented. I would suggest a pracice test or quiz before the first test to alow students to get a feel for the class. Otherwise odd but interesting discussions in class.
8 N/A
9 Made a boring subject very interesting by getting the class involved
10 His class was so hard to follow, he explained things in ways that were too hard to understand sometimes. He needed to take more time to explain each theory clearer and start with the basics on everything and not assume everyone was always up to speed. I don't think it's fair that only tests go into your overall grade, he should add a couple more activities that could boost your grade.
11 Great teacher. I would recommend him to anyone taking the class.
12 Great guy. Deserves a raise.
13 Fantastic teacher


Course: PHIL1040 004 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 9 / 35 (25.71%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 004										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	6	3	0	0	0	0	9	5.7	6	6	.47
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	5	4	0	0	0	0	9	5.6	6	6	.50
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	6	3	0	0	0	0	9	5.7	6	6	.47
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	4	5	0	0	0	0	9	5.4	5	5	.50

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 004 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 9 / 35 (25.71%)




LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A											
	Responses						Individual					
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	6	3	0	0	0	0	9	5.7	6	6	.47
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	4	4	1	0	0	0	9	5.3	5	5,6	.67
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	3	6	0	0	0	0	9	5.3	5	5	.47

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 55.56% (5 of 9)
1 Was a great class.
2 Scott was an excellent teacher. His examples peaked the interest of the entire class and really nailed in the concepts. At first I didnt think I would enjoy this class, but Scott made a boring subject interesting.
3 Quirky and a little strange, but made class interesting and overall enjoyable.
4 He was very funny and I enjoy how he made it a discussion-based class. He went through notes very fast and I would've enjoyed one more test so there wasn't so much material on each test.
5 Great class, couldnt think of a way to improve it


Course: PHIL1040 008 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 12 / 35 (34.29%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 008										
		Responses					Course					
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	9	1	2	0	0	0	12	5.6	6	6	.76
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	10	0	2	0	0	0	12	5.7	6	6	.75
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	9	1	2	0	0	0	12	5.6	6	6	.76
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	9	1	2	0	0	0	12	5.6	6	6	.76

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 008 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 12 / 35 (34.29%)



LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A											
	Responses						Individual					
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	10	1	1	0	0	0	12	5.8	6	6	.60
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	9	1	1	1	0	0	12	5.5	6	6	.96
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	8	1	2	0	0	0	11	5.5	6	6	.78

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 50.00% (6 of 12)
1 great teacher, no complaints.
2 Though many people in the class may have complained during the semester (due to being upper-classmen), Scott actively engaged students to think about very difficult subjects. If you bought into his thought process, either agreeing or disagreeing, you would take a lot of knowledge away from being a student of his.
3 Scott was easily the best, and most exciting professor I've had in a liberal arts class. He made sure that material was presented clearly, and fixed anything that wasn't. His grading was fair, and was all around a fun instructor. Thanks for everything Scott!
4 Providing the reading material for us instead of requiring books was greatly appreciated. Topic slides were concise and easy to understand.
5 Overall, the class was interesting and Mr. Hill encouraged critical thought and discussion from students. However, I was disappointed in the lack of focus that was placed on the subject of business ethics. It seemed that we focused mostly on metaethics. I signed up for business ethics with the hope that I could learn about important ethical dilemmas facing businesses today, but we only touched on sweatshops and a little bit of deception in business. I do not feel that what I learned from this class will help me in the business world.
6 I was dreading taking this class because it seemed like another one of those boring classes that had nothing to do with my major. However, Scott made this class very interesting by providing fun examples and a laid-back atmosphere where everyone felt comfortable contributing to the discussions. Great teacher!