



Course:	PHIL1040 003 - Business Ethics	Department:	PHIL
Responsible Faculty:	Scott Hill	Responses / Expected:	12 / 36 (33.33%)
Focus:	Overall Results		



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 003										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	5	5	2	0	0	0	12	5.3	5	5,6	.72
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	9	1	2	0	0	0	12	5.6	6	6	.76
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	8	3	1	0	0	0	12	5.6	6	6	.64
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	8	2	2	0	0	0	12	5.5	6	6	.76

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 003 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 12 / 36 (33.33%)




LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A										
	Responses						Individual				
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.										
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.										
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.										

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 58.33% (7 of 12)
1 great teacher love this class
2 You are an amazing teacher and helped me understand things in a different light!
3 This was by far the most enjoyable class I've ever taken. Period. Scott did a great job of making this an interesting subject. Every business student has to take it, but he makes it so much more than that. He's definitely unconventional, but he's a breath of fresh air. He knew his students' names and would call on us by name everyday. He let us speak our mind and never made anyone feel stupid. He seemed like he was truly interested in what we had to say. He was great. This was a phenomenal class. Thanks Scott!
4 Scott made it abundantly clear that he was going to conduct the class from a very laid back approach. He took the time to learn nearly everyone's names and that is much better than 90% of the professors at auburn. Being that this is a philosophy class I thought he was able to direct conversation and examples to create debate about the days topic. His testing was fair. The first and third test we had to essentially fill out the study guide ourselves and the second on he had it prepared for us. I preferred the way he did the study guide the for the first and third test because it made me go through and fill it out as opposed to just skimming it and winging the test. Scott would be a great fit long term for the PHIL department as he is able to create example that get across the material clearly making the class bearable for majors that are of a bit more direct mental approach. With Scott passing out his test after the exam I would caution him about them being passed around to semesters in the future.
5 One of the best teachers I have ever had... I loved this class. Would not change a single thing.
6 I enjoyed the open conversations about the materials held in class.
7 Great teacher


Course: PHIL1040 004 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 15 / 35 (42.86%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 004										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	9	4	1	0	1	0	15	5.3	6	6	1.07
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	9	5	1	0	0	0	15	5.5	6	6	.62
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	8	6	0	0	0	0	14	5.6	6	6	.49
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	8	7	0	0	0	0	15	5.5	6	6	.50

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 004 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 15 / 35 (42.86%)




LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A											
	Responses						Individual					
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	12	3	0	0	0	0	15	5.8	6	6	.40
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	8	6	1	0	0	0	15	5.5	6	6	.62
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	7	8	0	0	0	0	15	5.5	5	5	.50

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 40.00% (6 of 15)
1 Very approachable, used examples and descriptions that made sense and were memorable.
2 This was a cool class. Not sure what it had to do with business ethics, but it made me think a lot. Scott is a good teacher and facilitated conversation as best he could with what he had to deal with. I can't think of any improvements.
3 This class was more of a general philosophy class than a business ethics class, in my opinion. Very redundant for those who have taken a separate lower level philosophy class.
4 Scott is the man.
5 Scott is an awesome teacher. Have 0 complaints.
6 Awesome class, awesome professor. Made the class a lot more interesting than I thought it could be and made sure that everyone understood the concepts.


Course: PHIL1040 005 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 9 / 34 (26.47%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 005										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	7	2	0	0	0	0	9	5.8	6	6	.42
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	7	2	0	0	0	0	9	5.8	6	6	.42
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	6	3	0	0	0	0	9	5.7	6	6	.47
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	7	2	0	0	0	0	9	5.8	6	6	.42

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 005 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 9 / 34 (26.47%)




LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A											
	Responses						Individual					
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	7	2	0	0	0	0	9	5.8	6	6	.42
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	6	3	0	0	0	0	9	5.7	6	6	.47
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	7	2	0	0	0	0	9	5.8	6	6	.42

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 44.44% (4 of 9)
1 The instructor did very well in getting the class involved by listening to their opinions. Using better examples could improve the course.
2 I thought it was a great course
3 Enjoyed listening to the crazy scenarios in class! They in fact helped me to remember all the different theories over the course of the semester.
4 Allows everyone to participate and give there beliefs. All around great teacher.


Course: PHIL1040 006 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 17 / 35 (48.57%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 006										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	8	5	4	0	0	0	17	5.2	5	6	.81
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	9	7	1	0	0	0	17	5.5	6	6	.61
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	8	8	1	0	0	0	17	5.4	5	5,6	.60
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	9	4	1	2	1	0	17	5.1	6	6	1.26

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 006 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 17 / 35 (48.57%)



LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A											
	Responses						Individual					
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	12	5	0	0	0	0	17	5.7	6	6	.46
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	8	6	1	1	1	0	17	5.1	5	6	1.13
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	5	11	0	1	0	0	17	5.2	5	5	.71

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A	
Response Rate: 35.29% (6 of 17)	
1	thank you for this course. It gave me a lot of perspective. Though I did not voice many of my thoughts and views in class, it helped me think about where I stand on moral issues where I had no standing before.
2	great
3	The class felt sort of incomplete: no section ever ended in a conclusive way and everything felt far too open ended for me to be comfortable with, but that's probably just my engineer brain's attempt at the material.
4	I wish the syllabus would have been more detailed. I wish the exam schedule would have been set from the beginning. For example, this is the week before final exam week and I still do not have the slightest clue when the final exam will be. It may interfere with other test but I would not know because the instructor doesn't even know when its going to be.
5	I wish the examples pertained more to business situations rather than being completely unrelated.
6	I love his teaching style. For someone who is in Engineering school, it is relaxing to come to his class and be able to discuss anything with him and the class. I enjoyed having to go just from class notes and discussion instead of having a book to worry about as well.