



Course: PHIL1040 007 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 19 / 35 (54.29%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 007										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	11	5	2	0	1	0	19	5.3	6	6	1.03
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	11	8	0	0	0	0	19	5.6	6	6	.49
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	10	7	1	1	0	0	19	5.4	6	6	.81
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	11	6	1	0	0	1	19	5.3	6	6	1.17

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 007 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 19 / 35 (54.29%)




LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A											
	Responses						Individual					
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	12	7	0	0	0	0	19	5.6	6	6	.48
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	8	9	2	0	0	0	19	5.3	5	5	.65
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	6	13	0	0	0	0	19	5.3	5	5	.46

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 52.63% (10 of 19)
1 great teacher
2 great guy
3 We focused on discussion in a class where opinions and reasoning matter as much as or more than the content. Seemed to be an effective way of expounding the material.
4 The instructor explained the information clearly and graded fairly. However, I did not enjoy the atmosphere of our discussions because the instructor's use of profanity encouraged it among my classmates as well.
5 The class was engaging with equal parts material learning combined with active discussion. This allowed for very enjoyable class experiences. I would recommend him to anyone planning on taking business ethics. The main "criticism" I would have is that there is not enough time in the 50min MWF time slots to have prolonged discussion on topics. Maybe to try to move it to a T-Th time slot so that after the class material was explained it allowed for a longer discussion period.
6 Scott made this my favorite class of the semester (and I'm an engineering major).
7 I enjoyed having him as a professor. He is very down to earth and willing to explain the material to anyone who doesn't understand, and won't stop until they get it. He's also humorous and capable of keeping a class engaged in his lectures.
8 He handwrites his notes on the blackboard and he has chicken scratch so you can never decipher what he is trying to write.
9 Great instructor. Dr. Hill presented the material in an understandable, clear fashion. Discussion in class was greatly encouraged by the instructor. His lectures always made sense and kept my attention the entire class. If anything he taught was unclear he explained it in a simplified way the students could relate to. The only complaint I have is he was not very clear about office hours.
10 Cool guy


Course: PHIL1040 008 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 18 / 33 (54.55%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 008										
		Responses					Course					
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	12	5	1	0	0	0	18	5.6	6	6	.59
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	12	4	1	1	0	0	18	5.5	6	6	.83
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	9	7	0	1	0	0	17	5.4	6	6	.77
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	10	7	1	0	0	0	18	5.5	6	6	.60

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 008 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 18 / 33 (54.55%)



LIB ARTS	Hill, Scott A											
	Responses						Individual					
	SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	14	4	0	0	0	0	18	5.8	6	6	.42
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	9	7	1	0	1	0	18	5.3	5.5	6	.99
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	7	9	2	0	0	0	18	5.3	5	5	.65


Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 61.11% (11 of 18)

- 1 great guy
- 2 While I still do not understand what business ethics are and how this class related to it. I feel very firm in my understanding in ethics in general after this class. He is a great teacher and very good at presenting and teaching material.
- 3 The language of the teacher was inappropriate and not professional. It was hard for me to take him seriously as a teacher because of these issues
- 4 Scott's done a pretty good job so far. Really makes sure we understand all the information before moving on.
- 5 Scott's argument-based structure for concepts covered in class was easy to follow and led to (for the most part - there were a lot of freshman that still had the mentality that its "nerdy" to talk in class) good in-class discussions. Put this man on the tenure track!
- 6 Mr. Scott was my favorite teacher for sure this year. His class was one of the, maybe the only, classes I looked forward coming too. He's a really cool and funny guy, and that reflects on the class. It's easy to tell he enjoys what he does. He's always willing to answer questions even if they're about something he just went over. The study guides are really detailed and a few days before the test he helps us study anything that were not fully sure about. The course itself was fun because we talked about theories and had deep thinking in general, and we got to explore the faults in the theories we discussed. The test weren't bad, true and false and an essay. I didn't really have trouble on any of them, so maybe the one thing to improve would be to make them a little bit harder. Overall, great teacher, great class.
- 7 Loved the class. Very interesting. Would keep it as is.
- 8 Killer class and teacher.
- 9 I really liked him as a teacher, he made things very clear in his lectures and made sure everybody had a clear understanding of the material. He provided plenty of examples and I liked the discussion-centered class aspect instead of solely lecturing.
- 10 I really enjoyed this course and felt I learned a lot about ethics due to the classroom atmosphere and class structure.
- 11 I enjoyed the class, but for the tests, it would help if they weren't in true/false format.


Course: PHIL1040 009 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 12 / 31 (38.71%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 009										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	11	1	0	0	0	0	12	5.9	6	6	.28
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	6.0	6	6	0
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	10	1	0	0	0	0	11	5.9	6	6	.29
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	8	3	1	0	0	0	12	5.6	6	6	.64

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 009 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 12 / 31 (38.71%)




LIB ARTS		Hill, Scott A										
		Responses						Individual				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	6.0	6	6	0
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	9	2	0	0	1	0	12	5.5	6	6	1.12
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	7	5	0	0	0	0	12	5.6	6	6	.49

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 50.00% (6 of 12)
1 Scott is a solid dude.
2 Scott Hill was a friendly teacher who encouraged a collaborative, class-involved learning experience. He would constantly take time to make sure everyone understood what he was teaching before he moved on. Overall, I had a pleasant learning experience in this class.
3 My instructor inspired me to look deeper into why I have the opinions that I do, whether or not they need revising, and what arguments to make for or against my current beliefs. He encouraged me to think beyond the norm and opinions of society, and inspired in me an interest in philosophy.
4 I liked the class, but still have no idea what my grade is in Scott's class. He taught well, so I'm sure my grade is OK but I would still like to have an idea of my grade before finals.
5 He shouldn't curse.
6 Good teacher and love his teaching tactics


Course: PHIL1040 010 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 13 / 33 (39.39%)
Focus: Overall Results	



University Wide questions		PHIL1040 - 010										
		Responses						Course				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor encouraged me to think critically.	6	5	2	0	0	0	13	5.3	5	6	.72
Q2	The grading techniques were fair.	8	4	0	1	0	0	13	5.5	6	6	.84
Q3	The Instructor created a conducive atmosphere for learning.	6	4	3	0	0	0	13	5.2	5	6	.80
Q4	The Instructor explained course material clearly.	7	4	2	0	0	0	13	5.4	6	6	.74

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: PHIL1040 010 - Business Ethics	Department: PHIL
Responsible Faculty: Scott Hill	Responses / Expected: 13 / 33 (39.39%)



LIB ARTS		Hill, Scott A										
		Responses						Individual				
		SA	A	SA	SD	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The Instructor met the class consistently and punctually.	10	3	0	0	0	0	13	5.8	6	6	.42
Q2	The Instructor provided a clear and detailed syllabus.	6	4	0	3	0	0	13	5.0	5	6	1.18
Q3	The Instructor was available during office hours.	7	5	1	0	0	0	13	5.5	6	6	.63

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=6 [A] Agree=5 [SA] Slightly Agree=4 [SD] Slightly Disagree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q4 - Please provide additional comments on strengths and areas for improvement.

Faculty: Hill, Scott A
Response Rate: 15.38% (2 of 13)
1 Very good teacher and made the class enjoyable.
2 The only issue would be the instructors handwriting. It is almost impossible to read, but he will reread information if asked. However, I do not want to ask for everything to be re-read...